

Firm Report

Inside this issue:

Summer Associates 2
 Litigation Highlights 3
 Pro Bono Highlights 6
 Items of Interest 8

GOVERNOR BLANCO PRAISES BS&F FOR AIDING LOUISIANA IN KATRINA'S WAKE

From September through December 2005, a team of BS&F lawyers worked hours with Louisiana Governor Kathleen Blanco on a *pro bono* basis to assist the Governor in a federal investigation into Louisiana's response to Hurricane Katrina. Governor Blanco expressed her gratitude for the "tireless[]" work performed "with great skill" in a letter sent to the firm on April 6, 2006. (Governor Blanco's letter is reprinted inside on page 10.)

Following the highly criticized response of FEMA and its former chief, Michael Brown, to Hurricane Katrina, the House and Senate turned their attention to state and local efforts to respond to the disaster. The BS&F team organized and reviewed thousands of documents in an expedited discovery process. The firm also prepared Governor Blanco for appearances before the House and Senate. As

part of its work, BS&F was provided a rare, close-up look by the Louisiana National Guard of Hurricane Katrina's devastation.

"one of the most difficult public management jobs any governor has ever faced"

The BS&F team arrived in Baton Rouge just after Katrina had hit. The confluence of two storms – Katrina and the political aftermath – created, according to Paul Verkuil, "one of the most difficult public management jobs any governor has ever faced. [We provided] constant responses to unplanned events in circumstances where many of the participants were stretched thin and devastated."

Paul Verkuil, Bill D'Zurilla and Gibson Verkuil led a team of the firm's lawyers.

Steve Zack: BS&F's Person In Miami And a Leader of The ABA



"I welcome the opportunity to work to strengthen the rule of law and human rights through the ABA"

Miami Partner Steve Zack emigrated from Cuba at the age of 14 and has not slowed down since. The first Hispanic American to serve as a state and national bar association Chairman, Steve is currently in the middle of a two year term as the Chair of the ABA's House of Delegates – one of the nation's most influential positions on matters relating to the legal profession.

"Loss of liberty is not a theoretical threat to me," Zack says. It is the reason why he takes his responsibilities as chair of the House of the association – the largest voluntary professional membership association in the world and the voice of the legal profession – so seriously. "As someone whose family had to flee the dictatorship of Cuba that abolished the rule of law, I welcome the opportunity to work to strengthen the rule of law and human rights through the ABA's efforts."

Believing that the rule of law is strengthened through healthy discourse, Zack established the noted "Emerging Issues Committee," which presents to the House a variety of opinions on hot button issues. In the wake of heated political demands for the impeachment of judges related to the Terry Schiavo controversy, the first topic Zack helped the committee focus on was judicial independence, featuring Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer, South Carolina Sen. Lindsey Graham and former Solicitor General Ted Olsen.

The Chairmanship is only the latest milestone in Steve's long and distinguished career. Among other accomplishments, Steve has served as chair of the Florida Ethics Committee, was appointed by Gov. Lawton Chiles to the board responsible for re-writing the Florida constitution, was elected President of the Florida Bar, and was involved in the creation of the Cuban-American Bar Association.

2006 Summer Associates

Welcome, Summer Associates!

The firm is pleased to welcome our summer associates, a diverse group representing 12 law schools. This summer's class includes, among others, an officer in the Merchant Marine Reserve, a three-time Indiana power-lifting champion, two Habitat for Humanity volunteers, a Maryland amateur champion golfer, a competitive gymnast, a small-business owner who began his own sports card and memorabilia business, and several fluent speakers of foreign languages, including Croatian and Aramaic. The summer associates for each office are listed below.

Albany:	Robert M. Annis (Cornell, '08) Christopher Cooper (Cornell, '08)	Miami:	Adam E. Zeidel (NYU, '07)
Armonk:	Matthew H. Baer (Cardozo, '07) Quinn D. Curtis (Yale, '08) Sargon Daniel (Columbia, '07) Russell M. Franklin (Harvard, '07) Michael Helfand (Yale, '07) Marguerite Hogan (Boalt Hall, '07) Nicole A. Kersey (UVA, '07) Tzvi N. Mackson (Cardozo, '07) Eugene Nardelli (Yale, '07) James B. Orthwein (Washington, '07) Ognjen Z. Radic (Columbia, '07) Lauren A. Salvati (Harvard, '07) Matthew J. Senatore (Harvard, '07) Jon Steitz (Yale, '07) Jacqueline Yecies (Columbia, '08)	New Hampshire:	Vivian R. Mills (Columbia, '06)
		New Jersey:	Roshni Chaudhari (Chicago, '08)
		New York City:	Bridget E. Brown (Columbia, '07) John LaSalle (UVA, '07) Grant T. Mandsager (NYU, '08) Benjamin J. Widlanski (Columbia, '07) Scott R. Wilson (Columbia, '07)
		Oakland:	Laura M. Alexander* (Georgetown, '07)
Ft. Lauderdale:	Andrew E. Steif (UF, '07)	Washington, DC:	Bryan J. Caforio (Yale, '08) James Kvaal (Harvard, '07) Michael S. Mitchell (Catholic, '07) Lisa Perez (UVA, '07) Joshua Riley (Harvard, '07) Eli S. Rosenbaum (Harvard, '08) Michael Thompson (Harvard, '08)

*Ms. Alexander is splitting her summer between the Washington DC and Oakland offices.

Litigation Highlights

BS&F Successfully Settles Substantial False Claims Act Case

As featured in the July issue of *The American Lawyer*, lawyers from BS&F were instrumental in resolving a large False Claims Act case against Medco Health solutions, Inc. and others. The case was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. After the Government intervened, the firm's attorneys worked closely with members of the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and the Department of Justice in Washington, D.C.

The Complaint alleged, among other things, that Medco, a pharmacy benefits manager, systematically and intentionally switched patients' prescriptions from one brand name drug to another in an effort to increase the market share for certain

pharmaceutical manufacturers. Medco was also alleged to have destroyed prescriptions and demanded and received kickbacks from health plans.

The settlement, reached shortly before trial was to commence, was \$163 million for several related cases of which \$137.5 million was allocated to the Medco litigation. It is one of the largest False Claims Act settlements to date and will have a lasting impact on the way Medco and other pharmacy benefit managers conduct business in the future.

The BS&F team was led by David Stone, Richard Drubel, Hamish Hume, William Hurlock, Bob Magnanini and Tim Cornell.

BS&F Successfully Settles Zurich Financial Claims Arising From A \$100M Offshore Fraud

In June 2006, BS&F negotiated a global settlement on behalf of Zurich Financial Services' subsidiary Zurich Capital Markets (ZCM). The deal ended 5 years of litigation in the federal and state courts in Illinois, bankruptcy court in Illinois, and the Supreme Court of the Bahamas and resolved claims stemming from a fraudulent \$100 million Bahamian-based mutual fund with Oceanic Bank & Trust Company, (a Bahamian bank), the Liquidator of M.J. Select Global, Ltd., (a Bahamian mutual fund), several Bermudian and Chicago based entities and other rival claimants. ZCM sought BS&F's counsel when it had difficulties obtaining redemptions from funds controlled by its counterparty in a complex derivative transaction. ZCM had made numerous direct investments to hedge against its exposure on the transaction, including an investment in M.J. Select. M.J. Select's promoters/managers deceptively used the investors' capital to make highly speculative investments in other offshore funds in exchange for kickbacks, effectively turning M.J. Select into a Ponzi scheme. The fraud was discerned as M.J. Select investors sought to redeem their shares in 2001.

Attempting to fob their losses onto ZCM, the counterparty diverted over \$7 million in ZCM's redemption proceeds to its own investors and instructed M.J. Select and Oceanic to dishonor ZCM's redemption requests as M.J. Select spiraled into bankruptcy. BS&F obtained a TRO and Preliminary Injunction in the N.D. Ill. (freezing the stolen assets) and commenced a series of actions there on behalf of ZCM against the counterparty, Oceanic, M.J. Select, its officers and accountants, alleging securities and common law fraud claims. Oceanic forced M.J. Select into liquidation and convinced the

Bahamian court to appoint liquidators friendly to Oceanic. Despite having access to substantial funds remaining in M.J. Select's accounts, these liquidators disbursed no funds to investors for 5 years while squandering millions of dollars resisting claims by ZCM in the Bahamas and instituting ancillary proceedings in Illinois.

After initial depositions, discovery was stayed for 3 years pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. Pending the resolution of multiple rounds of motions to dismiss, Oceanic filed an indemnity claim against M.J. Select in the Bahamas in an unsuccessful attempt to obtain favorable rulings which would have been used to bar certain of ZCM's claims in the US. Rather than cooperate with ZCM, its single largest shareholder, M.J. Select's liquidator threatened to bring actions to recapture settlements that ZCM had reached with the counterparty and auditor defendants on the specious grounds that ZCM's claims belonged to the estate. BS&F forced the appointment of a creditors' committee in the Bahamas and played an active role in directing its efforts to rein in Oceanic's liquidator and supervise the Bahamian trial of Oceanic's indemnification claim.

Upon approval of the settlement agreements by the US and Bahamian courts, ZCM will receive the lion's share of the settlement. This recovery will ensure that ZCM will incur no loss on beyond a modest initial reserve taken 5 years ago and will additionally recover \$US millions to offset attorney's fees and expenses.

Alan Vickery, Howard Vickery, Courtney Rockett, Adam Shaw, Kate Ruggieri, Andrew Harris, and others worked on this litigation.

Litigation Highlights

Smith Wholesale, et al. v. Philip Morris USA Inc.

On April 21, 2006 BS&F argued in the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit on behalf of Philip Morris USA Inc. (“PM USA”) in *Smith Wholesale, Inc. v. Philip Morris USA Inc.* The appeal followed the firm’s victory at summary judgment on the underlying antitrust action filed by thirty cigarette distributors against PM USA.

The case began in June 2003, when the plaintiffs filed suit and sought preliminary injunctive relief in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, alleging that PM USA’s market share-based wholesale incentive program (called “Wholesale Leaders 2003”) amounted to price discrimination in violation of the Robinson-Patman Act and

an attempt to monopolize in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act. The District Court granted the plaintiffs’ request for injunctive relief, but BS&F won a stay of that order from the Sixth Circuit. On August 17, 2005, while the appeal of the initial preliminary injunction was still pending, the District Court determined that the injunction had been “improvidently granted” and entered summary judgment in favor of PM USA on all the plaintiffs’ claims. The appeal of the District Court’s entry of summary judgment followed.

David Boies argued, assisted by Mike Brille, Philip Iovieno, Jack Simms and Christopher Hayes.

BS&F Makes New Law in State Farm Subrogation Litigation

Beginning in 2001, BS&F represented State Farm Insurance in seeking to recover over \$100 million paid on homeowners claims arising from defective washing machine water hoses. The problem was this: Rayon embedded in the water hose would deteriorate; the hose would burst; water would flood the home; and State Farm would pay the insured homeowner. State Farm thus became subrogated to the claims of its insureds against the washing machine manufacturers – GE and Whirlpool – and the component manufacturer of the defective hose. It was uneconomical to litigate claims “hose-by-hose” as the defendants advocated. BS&F was approached to find a creative, efficient approach to this “hose litigation.” Several years earlier, State Farm had been unsuccessful in filing a con-

solidated nationwide subrogation case in California over defective ignition interlocks. A further challenge was that there had not been a reported case in the country supporting nationwide consolidation of subrogation claims in a single action.

A BS&F team of Stuart Singer, Bill Isaacson, George Carpinello, Carl Goldfarb, Martin Deptula, Thomas Higgs, Teresa Monroe, Bob Tietjen and Gera Peoples worked with State Farm’s outside subrogation counsel. The case recovered a substantial eight-figure sum for State Farm, and in an appeal argued by George Carpinello, obtained the first appellate decision upholding the consolidation of nationwide claims in a single action.

BS&F Wins In The Wine Country

In February and March, BS&F won a three-week civil jury trial in Napa County Superior Court. In the lawsuit, the plaintiff claimed breach of contract and fraud in connection with the sale of a business. The jury returned a verdict vindicating our client, and the judge recently ordered that we are entitled to \$1.1 million in costs and attorneys’ fees.

David Shapiro and Fred Norton (with the help of paralegal Christina Seki) worked on this matter.

Litigation Highlights

BS&F Argues Appeal In *Pebble Beach Company v. Caddy*

On April 5, BS&F argued an appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit on behalf of the firm's client, Michael Caddy. Mr. Caddy runs a three-room bed-and-breakfast and café in Barton-on-Sea, England named "Pebble Beach." He was sued for trademark infringement by Pebble Beach Company, owners of the famed California golf course. (No jokes, please.)

Pebble Beach appealed the district court's order granting Mr. Caddy's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, arguing that jurisdiction was established over Mr. Caddy based on Mr. Caddy's website (www.pebblebeach-uk.com) and the fact that Mr. Caddy is a U.S.-U.K. citizen.

Mikal Condon of the Oakland office argued for the firm.

BS&F Initiates *LaSalle v. Incapital LLC*

In April, Incapital LLC, a global investment bank that focuses on fixed income securities and structured products, retained BS&F to defend against a trade secret case filed against it by LaSalle Financial Services and LaSalle Bank. LaSalle brought the action in state court in West Palm Beach, Florida, seeking an immediate preliminary injunction and a jury trial on the merits of its damages claims.

The preliminary injunction sought by LaSalle would have

enjoined Incapital from trading with its largest customers. We removed the case to federal court. Following removal, BS&F succeeded in forcing LaSalle to submit its damages claims to NASD arbitration. To date, Incapital's operations have not been affected by LaSalle's preliminary injunction motion.

Alfred Levitt, Todd Thomas, Paul Kunz and Courtney Clixby are representing Incapital for BS&F.

Firm Obtains Dismissal of \$16 Million Suit against Philip Morris

BS&F successfully defended PM and two of its employees against a suit brought against it by third-party vendor Lawrence H. Flynn, Inc. The claims arose out of PM's termination of Flynn as a provider for third-party installation services. After prolonged procedural skirmishing, Judge Anderson of the Northern District of Illinois dismissed the action against the two employees for lack of personal jurisdiction and dismissed the complaint against Philip Morris with preju-

dice. On April 12, 2006, the court also denied Flynn's belated motion to amend its complaint and noted that plaintiff failed to timely move to reconsider the dismissal or timely appeal the dismissal.

Mark Heise and Jorge Schmidt of the Miami office worked on this matter.

Pro Bono Highlights

BS&F Assistance Crucial to Supreme Court Ruling Reversing Death Sentence In Successful Innocence Project Case

On June 12, 2006, the U.S. Supreme Court issued its decision in *House v. Bell*, ruling 5-3 that Tennessee death row inmate Paul House is entitled to a new federal hearing. BS&F provided significant *pro bono* assistance to the New York-based Innocence Project as an *amicus curiae* in support of Mr. House. The case hinged on whether Mr. House made a showing of actual innocence sufficient to entitle him to a hearing on his procedurally defaulted habeas claims. That DNA test results exclude him as the source of critical forensic evidence in the case was undisputed. In its ruling the Court noted that the central forensic proof connecting Mr. House is in question and concluded that “this is the rare case where – had the jury heard all the conflicting testimony – it is more likely than not that no reasonable juror viewing the record as a whole would lack reasonable doubt.”

BS&F’s involvement began when the Innocence Project contacted Ian Dumain and Brian Kohn (two Armonk associates and former members of the Innocence Project), and asked for assistance with its amicus brief. Ian, Brian and a group of summer associates reviewed over 2000 cases and drafted over 200 memos during the summer of 2005. This research provided the legal foundation for the Project’s amicus brief, and also informed Mr. House’s argument.

After this initial work, we received a thank you letter

from the Innocence Project, which stated “we were truly wowed by the final work product of the entire Boies, Schiller team. The memos they prepared . . . cut to the chase of the issue that we were most concerned about in this very large research assignment remarkably, they were

able to do it on extremely short notice, and to enlist an entire team to help them at a time (in August) when scarce resources are at a premium these memos will not only be useful to us on the *House* case, but will prove invaluable to us and our colleagues in litigating countless other innocence cases around the country.” The Innocence Project concludes noting BS&F “[is] at the top of our *pro bono* referral list, given the impressive results from this round.” (The letter we received is reprinted inside on page 11.)

Brian and Ian led the BS&F team.

“these memos will not only be useful to us on the House case, but will prove invaluable to us and our colleagues in litigating countless other innocence cases around the country”

Florida Children’s Healthcare Litigation

BS&F has undertaken a major pro bono case on behalf of Florida’s Medicaid enrolled children. More than 1.6 million Florida children are enrolled in Medicaid, and approximately 500,000 of them do not receive the EPSDT (Early Periodic Screening Diagnosis & Treatment) benefits they are given as a matter of right by federal law. The reason is Florida does not pay doctors sufficiently to incentivize their participation in Medicaid, relies unduly on HMOs, and creates administrative roadblocks for recipients – all in violation of provisions of the Medicaid statute.

That is the principal contention in a lawsuit BS&F has filed on behalf of a class of children on Medicaid, the American Academy of Pediatricians, and the Florida Academy of Pediatric Dentists. The state officials who are in charge of Medicaid are the defendants. The State of Florida Attorney

General’s office is representing the defendants, and has filed a motion to dismiss claiming there are no private rights in Medicaid that can be enforced by individual recipients. The motion has been briefed, and BS&F has argued the motion on behalf of the plaintiff class. The motion remains pending before Judge Adalberto Jordan of the US District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

BS&F was asked to undertake the case by the Public Interest Law Center of Philadelphia, which has spearheaded such litigation in several states, and is co-counsel with BS&F.

Stuart Singer argued the opposition to the motion to dismiss and the BS&F team on the case includes Sashi Bach Boruchow, William D’Zurilla, Carl Goldfarb, Damien Marshall, and Gera Peoples, all based in Fort Lauderdale.

Pro Bono Highlights

Trejo v. Broadway Plaza Hotel

BS&F is co-counsel with the ACLU for five immigrant women who were subjected to sexual harassment and wage exploitation by a New York City hotel and its management. Plaintiffs seek declaration that the Defendants violated federal and state minimum wage and overtime laws, the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, state child labor law provisions, and other important claims.

Plaintiffs are seeking compensatory and punitive damages

and injunctive relief for Defendant's violation of federal, state and city anti-discrimination laws. So far BS&F has taken contentious depositions with very positive outcomes for the upcoming trial and expects to overcome defendant's motion for summary judgment.

Lawyers from the New York City and Armonk offices, led by Eric Brenner, are representing the plaintiffs.

NRDC v. United States Dep't of Agriculture

BS&F represents the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) in litigation brought against the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and four states. The suit involves a rule that requires international shippers to kill pests by fumigating raw wood packaging using either heat treatment or the ozone-depleting substance methyl bromide.

The action was filed on September 15, 2005 in federal court in New York. The NRDC alleges that USDA failed to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act by not fully studying less environmentally harmful alternatives, and

failed to comply with the Plant Protection Act, which sets standards for how the USDA's Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) protects the United States from invasive species. The complaint argues that the rule could increase the use of the pesticide, which is at odds with the United States's participation in an international treaty to phase it out.

The matter is ongoing under the direction of New York City partner George Frampton.

BS&F Pro Bono Representation of Political Asylum Claimant

BS&F is representing Pauline Tshiyamba Moembo, a citizen of the Democratic Republic of Congo, in her effort to obtain political asylum for herself and her children here in the U.S. She was seized from her home in the Congo by soldiers, unlawfully detained, and tortured, as punishment for asking questions about her husband's seizure. After her release and months of medical attention, she was again seized and unlawfully detained--this time with two of her children -- by soldiers who accused her of being a conspirator against the govern-

ment. Upon their release, she fled the country and came to northern Virginia, where her sister lives.

BS&F submitted her asylum application to U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services in mid-September. The Government granted asylum, pending her background and identity check. Upon final approval, she will be able to apply for asylum for her children, who were unable to leave the Congo with her.

Philippa Scarlett of the DC office is handling the matter.

Items of Interest

Miami Office:

Tax partner Mike Kosnitzky authored two recent articles published in Tax Analysts' publication Tax Notes: "Protective Filings for Hedge Funds After the Jobs Act" and "The Uncertain Repeal of the Straddle Stock Exception". Mike was also an outside contributor in the recent CCH publication: Katrina Emergency Tax Relief Act of 2005/Gulf Opportunity Zone Act of 2005 Law, Explanation and Analysis. He and tax partner Keith Blum also co-authored the chapter on Antenuptial Agreements in the Florida Bar publication "Drafting Marriage Contracts in Florida" (Seventh Edition).

Oakland Office:

The California State Bar Board of Governors recently re-appointed Steve Tidrick to the board of directors of the Foundation of the State Bar of California. Steve also recently moderated the first debate between the Democratic candidates for California Attorney General, Los Angeles City Attorney Rocky Delgadillo and Oakland Mayor Jerry Brown.

In April, David Shapiro and Steve Tidrick attended the annual Judicial Conference of the Northern District of California, a conference for the federal district court judges and about 50 lawyers. David is a lawyer representative to the 9th Circuit Judicial Conference.

Washington, DC Office:

In June, Louis Smith attended the Judicial Conference for the D.C. Circuit, a biannual event for the judges of the Circuit and District Courts of the District of Columbia, the judges of the D.C. Superior Court and Court of Appeals, and about eighty lawyers.

Scott Gant co-authored an op-ed article published in the June 13, 2006 edition of the *Christian Science Monitor* analyzing whether there is any constitutional impediment to Bill Clinton serving as Vice President. Relying on analysis set out in his previously published law review article on the 22nd Amendment (*see* 83 Minn. L. Rev. 565 (1999)), Scott argued in the *CSM* that neither the 12th Amendment nor the 22nd Amendment preclude Mr. Clinton from being elected Vice President. The article was the most popular item on the *CSM's* widely read website the day of its publication, and has been the subject of discussion throughout the blogosphere. The full article is available at <http://www.csmonitor.com/2006/0613/p09s02-coop.html>.



KATHLEEN BABINEAUX BLANCO
GOVERNOR

State of Louisiana

EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Baton Rouge

70804-9004

Post Office Box 94004
(225) 342-7015

April 6, 2006

Mr. Donald Flexner
Boies, Schiller, and Flexner LLP
570 Lexington Avenue, 16th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Dear Mr. Flexner,

I want to thank you for the very kind and generous pro bono services Boies, Schiller, and Flexner provided to me and the State of Louisiana as we prepared for the House and Senate inquiries into the response to Hurricane Katrina. Paul Verkuil, Gibson Verkuil and Bill D'Zurilla worked tirelessly, and with great skill, to collect the large amounts of documents needed to prepare our testimony. Each of them have my undying gratitude and respect.

The past seven months have been the most trying times in Louisiana's history. We still have many years of hard work ahead of us as we help our people and our communities recover from this disaster. During the early days of our recovery, our burden was lightened considerably by your firm and your associates' extraordinarily generous legal work. I will be forever grateful for the generosity shown to us by Paul, Gibson, Bill and other members of your firm.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kathleen Babineaux Blanco".

Kathleen Babineaux Blanco
Governor
State of Louisiana



Barry C. Scheck, Esq.
Peter J. Neufeld, Esq.
Directors

Maddy DeLoon, Esq.
Executive Director

Innocence Project
100 Fifth Avenue, 3rd Floor
New York, NY 10011
Tel: 212.364.5340
Fax: 212.364.5341
www.innocenceproject.org

Nina Morrison, Esq.
Staff Attorney
Tel.: (212) 364-5357

Sept. 12, 2005

By overnight mail

Chris Green, Esq.
Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP
333 Main Street
Armonk, NY 10504

Dear Mr. Green:

On behalf of the entire Innocence Project, including Barry Scheck and myself, we hope you'll enjoy this signed copy of The Innocents – a very small token of our enormous gratitude for the able research assistance your team of associates provided on the *House v. Bell* case this summer.

As Ian Dumain and Brian Kohn are our former students, we knew well how talented and insightful they both were when we sent this assignment over to them, but we were truly wowed by the final work product from the entire Boies Schiller team. The memos they prepared were concise but thorough, and cut to the chase of the issue we were most concerned about in this very large research assignment. Even more remarkably, they managed to do it on extremely short notice, and to enlist an entire team to assist them at a time (in August) when scarce resources are at a premium. Rest assured that these memos will not only be useful to us on the *House* case itself, but will prove invaluable to us and our colleagues in litigating countless other innocence cases around the country.

I hope you won't mind if we place your firm at the top of our *pro bono* referral list for future projects, given the impressive results from this round. We thank you again for all your assistance and leadership in bringing this most recent project to fruition.

Sincerely yours,

 A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Nina Morrison".

Nina Morrison
Staff Attorney